

# RELATIVE PERFORMANCE OF RICE-POTATO-GREEN GRAM SYSTEM IN RED AND LATERITIC ZONE OF WEST BENGAL UNDER ORGANIC, INORGANIC AND INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

# SOUROV CHATTERJEE<sup>1</sup>\*, B. R. SIT<sup>2</sup>, P. K. SAHA<sup>3</sup>, DEBJANI GHOSH<sup>4</sup> AND ABHIJIT DEBNATH<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Zonal Adaptive Research Station, Directorate of Agriculture,

Government of West Bengal, Nalhati, Birbhum-731 220, West Bengal, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture, Soil Testing Laboratory,

Government of West Bengal, Kalna Road, Burdwan - 713 101, West Bengal, INDIA

<sup>4</sup>Central Research Institute for Jute & Allied Fibres, ICAR, Barrackpore - 700 120, Kolkata, West Bengal, INDIA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science,

Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur-741 252, West Bengal, INDIA

e-mail: chatterjee sourov@yahoo.co.in

## KEYWORDS

| Integrated nutrient      |
|--------------------------|
| management               |
| Rice-potato-green gram   |
| Yield                    |
| Uptake                   |
| Soil properties economic |

Received on :

26.04.2014

Accepted on : 12.10.2014

\*Corresponding author

# ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out during *rabi* and *summer* seasons from 2006 to 2009 in the dry sub-humid red and lateritic zone of West Bengal to study the effect of inorganic fertilizers alone or in combination with organic manures (FYM) on crop yield, nutrient uptake and soil properties under rice-potato-green gram cropping sequence. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three sources of nutrients in main plot and three levels of nutrients in sub plots with three replications. Pooled data revealed that integrated sources of nutrients (combination of inorganic and organic sources) applied @125% recommended doses (RD) increased the yield of rice by 32.5%, potato by 81.5% and green gram by 47.3%, over the inorganic source applied @ 75% RD, under common practice. The maximum uptake of N, P and K by rice (114, 19 and 67.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), potato (174, 18 and 187 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and green gram (78, 14.8 and 35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was observed at 125% RD applied through integrated source. Pooled data over three years showed that conjoint use of chemical fertilizers and FYM has significantly improved the soil organic carbon by 17.07%, and available N, P, K and moisture content by 12.9%, 10.3%, 8.89% and 7.82%, respectively, over the inorganic fertilizer alone. It can be summarized that in this red and lateritic zone NPK should be applied at 125% of RD for each crop; half of this through inorganic fertilizer and the rest amount through FYM for increasing the productivity of the cropping sequence and sustaining the soil fertility.

# INTRODUCTION

The theme of the present day agriculture is to achieve the targeted production to meet the increasing food demand while sustaining soil productivity. The most limiting factor that has affected the production of crops and productivity of Indo Gangetic plain is fertilizer: through imbalanced and indiscriminate use on one hand and withdrawal of organic matter from the schedule of inputs on the other (Kumar et *al.*, 2008). Therefore integrated nutrient management (INM) has been an increasing necessity especially for the tropical Indian soils.

A large geographical area (2.48 m ha) in the lower Gangetic plain of West Bengal, India, has red and lateritic soils characterized by poor fertility and ever declining productivity. Cultivation in this area is largely rain-fed. Hence improving agricultural productivity of this large area seems to be an important task that needs to be imparted through low input sustainable and profitable cropping system on the fatigued natural resource base (Ladha et al., 2003). Selection of crops and cropping pattern is an important factor for maintaining productivity of a soil. Researches based on cropping systems revealed that potato based cropping systems may play important role in improving productivity of the eastern Indo-Gangtic plains of India (Kumar et al., 2009) and rice-potatogreen gram cropping sequence has emerged as the most stable and efficient in terms of production and economic return, both (Singh and Lal, 2011). Therefore introduction of this crop sequence in the fertility poor lateritic soil of this zone would be an appropriate alternative of rice-wheat/rice-potato/ricemustard crop sequences practiced commonly. The other aspect is INM which needs framing for a crop sequence and soil type. Boosting yield, reducing production cost and improving soil health are three inter-linked components of the INM (Singh et al., 2008). Numerous reports of INM revealed that highest crop yield could be achieved through certain amount of substitution of inorganic fertilizers with organic manures (OM) and /or addition of OM with 100% chemical fertilizers, e.g., 50% substitution of NPK with OM was as good as 100% chemical fertilizers for grain yields in mustard-cowpea-rice cropping sequence grown in the lateritic soils of Maharashtra (Babar and Dongale, 2013); adding 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 100% NPK recorded higher yield of wheat in the Jammu and Kashmir than 100% NPK alone (Chesti et al., 2013). All these are consistent with the enhancing yield effects of INM. Similarly, reports are there to show that INM approach has increased the soil organic C and available nutrients (Sharma et al., 2013, Parmar, 2014); improved the bulk density, porosity, OC and available nutrients (Babar and Dongale, 2013). Considering these, we decided to generate information on the rice-potato-green gram cropping sequence under the INM system on red and lateritic soils of West Bengal because there is no report available so far. Thus our aim was to evaluate the effect of farm yard manure (FYM) prepared with commonly available resources like straw, crop residue and cow dung on (i) the yield of crops (ii) uptake and stability of soil nutrient status (iii) the economic profitability of the system.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Site Description

A field experiment was conducted from 2006 to 2009 at the Zonal Adaptive Research Station, Government of West Bengal at Nalhati, Birbhum, India. It is located in the lower Gangetic Plain at 24.29°N latitude and 87.84°E longitudes. The area falls under the hot, dry sub-humid zone, 60 m above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental location is very deep, well-drained, clay loam (Inceptisol) with 33.3% sand, 39.3% silt and 27.4% clay in the surface layer (0-0.15 m) classified as mixed Hyperthermic Typic Haplustalfs to Haplustepts. Initial composite soil sample, collected at the beginning of the field experiment, was strongly acidic (pH 5.36) (1:2.5 soil: water) and had 0.57% organic carbon, 121 kg ha-1 available nitrogen, 78.8 kg ha-1 available P, 112 kg ha-1 available K. The bulk density of 0-0.15 m soil depth was 1.22 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and that of 0.15-0.30 m soil depth was 1.34 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>. The maximum water holding capacity (MWHC) of the soil was 37.4%. During our experiment the weather varied most with respect to precipitation. Other parameters were similar to the long term average; with precipitation averaging 1400 mm during winter, maximum temperature between 26° and 36°C, minimum average temperature was around 13°C in winter and between 21° and 25°C during two other seasons.

## Experimental design and treatments

The experiment included three crops per year, namely, winter rice (July-November), potato (November-March) and green gram (March-May). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with net plot size of 5 × 4 m<sup>2</sup> and 2 m margin around each plot. The experiment consisted of three sources of nutrients viz., inorganic fertilizer (S<sub>1</sub>), organic source through FYM (S<sub>2</sub>) and integrated source through fertilizer and FYM (S<sub>2</sub>) as main plot and three levels of nutrients, viz., 75% of State Department of Agriculture recommended doses (RD) of N-P-K  $(N_1)$ , RD of N-P-K  $(N_2)$ , and 125% of RD of N-P-K  $(N_2)$  as sub plots with three replications. The RD of N: P: K (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for rice was 60:13.1:24.8 and for potato was 200:65.5:124. In the integrated source 50 per cent of N was applied through FYM and the rest amount through chemical fertilizer. In doing so the respective contribution of P and K from FYM was also considered. The fertilizers used were urea for N, single super phosphate for P, and muriate of potash for K. Well decomposed FYM was prepared from the farm wastes namely, straw of rice and wheat, fresh cow dung @ 10 kg per 100 kg of straw material, and finally urea solution @ 0.25kg N per 100 kg of straw to reduce the C/N ratio. The physical and chemical properties of FYM were analyzed in the laboratory following standard methodologies and are presented in Table 1. Before transplanting of rice (Oryza sativa L. var. MTU 7029) and sowing of potato (Solanum tuberosum L. var. K. lyoti) in rainy and winter seasons respectively, the entire amount of FYM was incorporated at the time of land preparation. Green gram (Vignaradiata L.var. Samrat) was sown without any nutrient inputs in order to study the residual effect of FYM and fertilizers after harvest of potato. After harvest of pods the green plants were chopped into pieces and mixed with the soil in presence of standing water. Rice equivalent yield (REY) was calculated to compare system performance by converting the yield of each crop into equivalent dry season rice yield on a price basis, using the following relation (Biswas et al., 2006)

#### REY (of crop x) = Yx(Px/Pr)

Where Yx is the yield of crop x (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), Px and Pr are the price of crop x and rice, respectively.

Soil and plant analysis

| Table 1: Chemica | l composition of | the organic manur | e (FYM) applied |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                  |                  | 0                 |                 |

| Parameters                      |       | Methodology   |
|---------------------------------|-------|---|
| Moisture (by weight)            | 13.2% | Heating at 105° C for 24 h  |
| pH (1:2.5)                      | 6.37  | Digital pH meter  |
| EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )         | 8.64  | Conductivity bridge   |
| Total N (%)                     | 1.53  | Kjeldahl procedure, Jackson (1958)  |
| Organic C (%)                   | 29.8  | Walkley and Black, Jackson (1956)   |
| Total P (%)                     | 0.24  | Digestion by 3:1:1, HNO <sub>3</sub> :HCLO <sub>4</sub> :H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> and vandomolybdate yellow           |
|                                 |       | colour method (Jackson, 1973)   |
| Total K (%)                     | 0.73  | Digestion by 3:1:1, HNO <sub>3</sub> :HCLO <sub>4</sub> :H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> and spectrophotometer determination |
| Exchangeable bases (cmolp+kg-1) |       | Leaching with 1N ammonium acetate   |
| Na                              | 0.58  | Flame photometer  |
| Ca                              | 13.5  | Chelometric titration with EDTA   |
| Mg                              | 12.6  | Chelometric titration with EDTA   |
| C/N                             | 19.5  | OC (%) / Total N  |
| Total ash                       | 47.4% | Igniting samples at 750° C for 4 h  |

Initial soil sample was collected with an auger from 0-15 cm soil depth. This was thoroughly mixed, dried and passed through 2 mm sieve for chemical analysis. Soil samples were also analysed each year after the crop cycle. Available N was estimated by Subbiah and Asija's (1956) method, and available phosphorus was determined by shaking the soil with 0.5 M NaHCO, (pH 8.5) (1:20: soil:extractant) for 30 minutes and estimating in a visible spectrophotometer (Systronics 128) by the L-ascorbic acid method (Murphy and Riley, 1962). Available K was estimated using neutral 1M NH, OAc as stated by Pratt (1965). Undisturbed soil cores were collected in a stainless-steel core sampler (5.0 cm diameter and 8.0 cm long) from 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm soil layers and used for determination of bulk-density (BD) (Blake and Hartge, 1986). The maximum water-holding capacity (MWHC) of the soil was measured with the help of a Keen-Rackzowski box (Baruah and Barthakur, 1997). At maturity, samples of rice grain, potato tubers and green gram pods were collected each year, oven dried at 70°C to constant weight and ground to pass through a 0.5 mm sieve for chemical analysis. Also the plant samples of rice, potato and green gram were collected, as above, for dry-matter weight and analysis of nutrients. Nitrogen in plant sample was determined by micro-Kjeldahl method (Jackson, 1973). Plant samples were digested in triacid mixture (HNO<sub>2</sub>: H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub>: 10:1:4, by volume), and in the digest P was measured by vanadomolybdate yellow colour method (Jackson, 1973), and K by flame photometer (Tandon, 1993). Crop uptake of N, P and K was calculated by multiplying the dry matter yield (after drying at 70°C) by plant nutrient concentration.

The experimental data were analysed statistically in SAS $^{\odot}$  version 9.3 and interpreted accordingly.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Performance of crop yield

Different sources and levels of nutrient application significantly influenced the grain yield of rice, tuber yield of potato and seed yield of green gram as well as the rice system equivalent yield (Table 2). The highest grain yield of rice (4.69 t/ha in 2006-07, 4.94 t/ha in 2007-08 and 5.27 t/ha in 2008-09), tuber yield of potato (20.6 t/ha, 21.8 t/ha and 25.7 t/ha in the corresponding years) and rice system equivalent yield (RSE) (23.0 t/ha, 24.2 t/ha and 28.3 t/ha respectively, for the years), was recorded through the integrated source of nutrient addition (S<sub>2</sub>) (50% through inorganic fertilizer and 50% through FYM). The residual effect of S, also led to highest seed yield of green gram (0.92 t, 0.95 t and 1.00 t/ha respective years). Pooled data of yield of different crops as well as RSE also showed similar results and revealed that adoption of INM (S<sub>2</sub>) increased the yields of rice, potato and green gram by 8.5%, 10% and 13%, respectively, and RSE by 10.04% over the inorganic source of nutrient alone (S,). These higher yields and RSE in integrated source might be attributed to a steady supply of all the plant nutrients released from organic manures (Sharma et al., 2013). However, the organic source alone  $(S_2)$  produced the lowest grain yield of rice (4.14 t/ha in 2006-07, 4.18 t/ha in 2007-08 and 4.26 t/ha in 2008-09) and tuber yield of potato (16.4 t, 17.4 t and 19.9 t/ha in respective years) and RSE (18.5

| Treatments                    | Rice gra<br>2006-07 | in yield (t<br>7 2007-08 | t ha <sup>-1</sup> )<br>8 2008-09 | Pooled          | Potato tu<br>2006-07 | ber yield<br>2007-08 | (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )<br>2008-09 | Pooled        | Green gi<br>2006-07 | am seed yi<br>2007-08 | ield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )<br>2008-09 | Pooled       | Rice syste<br>2006-07 | m equival<br>2007-08 | lent yield (<br>2008-09 | (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )<br>Pooled |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sources of nutrients (S)      |                     |                          |                                   |                 |                      |                      |                                  |               |                     |                       |                                       |              |                       |                      |                         |                                 |
| Fertilizer (S <sub>1</sub> )  | 4.39b               | 4.61b                    | 4.73b                             | 4.58b           | 18.7a                | 19.9b                | 21.3b                            | 20.6b         | 0.84a               | 0.84a                 | 0.84b                                 | 0.84b        | 21.1b                 | 22.2a                | 25.3b                   | 22.9b                           |
| FYM $(S_{2})$                 | 4.14b               | 4.18c                    | 4.26c                             | 4.18c           | 16.4b                | 17.4c                | 19.9c                            | 17.9c         | 0.60b               | 0.62b                 | 0.62c                                 | 0.61c        | 18.5c                 | 19.3c                | 21.8c                   | 19.9c                           |
| Fertilizer + FYM $(S_3)$      | 4.69a               | 4.94a                    | 5.27a                             | 4.97a           | 20.6a                | 21.8a                | 25.7a                            | 22.7a         | 0.92a               | 0.95a                 | 1.00a                                 | 0.95a        | 23.0a                 | 24.2a                | 28.3a                   | 25.2a                           |
| LSD                           | 0.26                | 0.07                     | 0.17                              | 0.1             | 1.05                 | 0.7                  | 0.26                             | 0.35          | 0.05                | 0.07                  | 0.02                                  | 0.03         | 0.94                  | 0.51                 | 0.74                    | 0.5                             |
| Application rate of nutr      | ients (N)           |                          |                                   |                 |                      |                      |                                  |               |                     |                       |                                       |              |                       |                      |                         |                                 |
| 75% RD (N1)                   | 4.19c               | 4.12c                    | 4.10c                             | 4.14c           | 15.5c                | 14.8c                | 15.3c                            | 15.2c         | 0.73c               | 0.71c                 | 0.70c                                 | 0.71c        | 18.0c                 | 17.4c                | 18.2c                   | 17.9c                           |
| 100% RD (N <sub>3</sub> )     | 4.43b               | 4.61b                    | 4.91b                             | 4.65b           | 18.8b                | 20.5b                | 24.5b                            | 21.4b         | 0.78b               | 0.82b                 | 0.86b                                 | 0.82b        | 21.0b                 | 22.6b                | 26.7b                   | 23.4b                           |
| $125\% \text{ RD } (N_3)$     | 4.61a               | 4.95a                    | 5.25a                             | 4.94a           | 21.5a                | 23.9a                | 29.2a                            | 24.9a         | 0.85a               | 0.89a                 | 0.91a                                 | 0.88a        | 23.6a                 | 25.8a                | 30.5a                   | 26.6a                           |
| LSD                           | 0.04                | 0.18                     | 0.16                              | 0.14            | 0.88                 | 1.19                 | 0.28                             | 1.84          | 0.02                | 0.03                  | 0.02                                  | 0.05         | 0.72                  | 1.03                 | 0.76                    | 0.64                            |
| Significance level            |                     |                          |                                   |                 |                      |                      |                                  |               |                     |                       |                                       |              |                       |                      |                         |                                 |
| Interaction                   |                     |                          |                                   |                 |                      |                      |                                  |               |                     |                       |                                       |              |                       |                      |                         |                                 |
| S x N                         | *<br>*              | *                        | *                                 | *               | *                    | *                    | * *                              | *             | * *                 | * *                   | *                                     | *            | *                     | *                    | *                       | NS                              |
| Each value representsmean yie | d from three n      | eplicated plc            | otsand values                     | that differ sig | nificantly (p <      | < 0.05) withi        | in each colum                    | n are followe | ed by differen      | t letters. **, *      | Significant at 0                      | .01 and 0.05 | probability le        | vels, respecti       | vely. NS <b>,</b> Nc    | n significant                   |

è

| Treatments                   | Nutrient ι | ıptake by ric | e (kg/ha)      | Nutrient u | ptake by pota | ato (kg/ha) | Nutrient ι | ıptake by gree | n gram (kg/ha) |
|------------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
|                              | Ν          | P             | ĸ              | Ν          | P             | K           | Ν          | P              | K              |
| Sources of nutrients (S)     |            |               |                |            |               |             |            |                |                |
| Fertilizer (S <sub>1</sub> ) | 93.37b     | 14.88c        | 54.55b         | 103.7b     | 11.87b        | 124.7b      | 56.36b     | 11.22b         | 28.05b         |
| FYM (S <sub>2</sub> )        | 80.16c     | 15.90b        | 49.02c         | 83.30c     | 9.60c         | 98.85c      | 37.46c     | 6.85c          | 18.81c         |
| Fertilizer + FYM (S,)        | 106.5a     | 18.06a        | 62.19a         | 144.6a     | 14.95a        | 160.1a      | 70.09a     | 13.59a         | 33.23a         |
| LSD                          | 1.58       | 0.365         | 1.62           | 4.21       | 0.426         | 3.81        | 2.42       | 0.679          | 0.891          |
| Application rate of nutr     | rients (N) |               |                |            |               |             |            |                |                |
| 75% RD (N <sub>1</sub> )     | 82.74c     | 14.29c        | <b>48.76</b> c | 82.31c     | 9.44c         | 99.05c      | 45.67c     | 8.93c          | 22.28c         |
| 100% RD (N <sub>2</sub> )    | 92.42b     | 16.59b        | 56.24b         | 112.99b    | 12.26b        | 130.7b      | 55.59b     | 10.84b         | 27.51b         |
| 125% RD (N <sub>3</sub> )    | 104.8a     | 17.96a        | 60.75a         | 136.4a     | 14.70a        | 153.9a      | 62.65a     | 11.89a         | 30.29a         |
| LSD                          | 3.52       | 0.873         | 4.013          | 3.53       | 0.608         | 1.74        | 2.35       | 0.399          | 1.27           |
| Significance level           |            |               |                |            |               |             |            |                |                |
| Interaction                  |            |               |                |            |               |             |            |                |                |
| S x N                        | * *        | *             | *              | * *        | *             | * *         | * *        | * *            | * *            |

Table 3: Effect of the source and rate of crop nutrients on uptake of nutrients by rice-potato-green gram cropping system (pooled data over three years)

Each value represents pooled data of mean nutrient uptake of three replicated plots over three years and values that differ significantly (p < 0.05) within each column are followed by different letters. \*\*, \* Significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively.

Table 4: Changes in soil properties in the rice-potato-green gram cropping system as influenced by the source and rate of crop nutrients (pooled data over three years)

| Treatment                          | рН      | OC (%) | Avail. N<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Avail. P<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Avail. K<br>(kg ha <sup>.</sup> 1) | Bulk<br>density<br>(Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )<br>0-15 cm | 15 -30 cm | MWHC (%) |
|------------------------------------|---------|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------|----------|
| Initial values                     | 5.36    | 0.57   | 121                                | 78.8                               | 112                                | 1.22  | 1.34      | 37.4     |
| Sources of nutrients (S)           |         |        |                                    |                                    |                                    |   |           |          |
| Fertilizer (S <sub>1</sub> )       | 5.33b   | 0.574c | 120.9b                             | 78.85b                             | 114.7b                             | 1.267a  | 1.337a    | 39.15c   |
| FYM (S <sub>2</sub> )              | 5.31c   | 0.623b | 119.8b                             | 74.87c                             | 106.7c                             | 1.207c  | 1.290c    | 44.25a   |
| Fertilizer + FYM (S <sub>3</sub> ) | 5.49a   | 0.672a | 136.5a                             | 86.96a                             | 124.9a                             | 1.237b  | 1.299b    | 42.21b   |
| LSD                                | 0.009   | 0.008  | 1.417                              | 0.559                              | 3.712                              | 0.012   | 0.0182    | 0.724    |
| Application rate of nutrie         | nts (N) |        |                                    |                                    |                                    |   |           |          |
| 75% RD (N <sub>1</sub> )           | 5.35b   | 0.585c | 119.4c                             | 74.49c                             | 106.8c                             | 1.250a  | 1.325a    | 39.79c   |
| 100% RD (N <sub>2</sub> )          | 5.37ba  | 0.622b | 124.8b                             | 81.06b                             | 116.4b                             | 1.236b  | 1.307b    | 42.17b   |
| 125% RD (N <sub>2</sub> )          | 5.41a   | 0.662a | 132.9a                             | 85.13a                             | 123.2a                             | 1.222c  | 1.294c    | 43.64a   |
| LSD                                | 0.04    | 0.015  | 3.136                              | 1.507                              | 2.212                              | 0.0125  | 0.0129    | 0.4326   |
| Significance level                 |         |        |                                    |                                    |                                    |   |           |          |
| Interaction                        |         |        |                                    |                                    |                                    |   |           |          |
| S x N                              | * *     | *      | NS                                 | * *                                | *                                  | * *   | * *       | * *      |

Each value represents pooled data of mean values of soil properties of three replicated plots over three years and values that differ significantly (*p* < 0.05) within each column are followed by different letters. \*\*, \* Significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively. NS, Non significant.

t, 19.3 t and 21.8 t/ha in respective years). This could be due to want of timely supply of nutrients from FYM particularly at critical stages of crop growth (Chetri et al., 2004). The pooled data over 3 years revealed that increasing the level of nutrients from 75% to 125% increased the grain yield of rice by 19.3%, tuber yield of potato by 63.8% and seed yield of green gram by 23.9%. The possible reason for the increase in biological vield with the increasing level of nutrients could be due to higher vegetative growth resulting in more photosynthates (Sarkar et al., 2007). Sharma et al. (2013) also reported that application of NPK at doses 75% and 50% of RD reduced the grain yield of wheat. In the present study, the interaction of level and sources of nutrients were found to be significant for yield of different crops and the interaction effect of pooled data is represented in Fig. 1. This revealed that integrated sources of nutrients applied @125% RD (S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) augmented the yield of rice by 32.5%, potato by 81.5% and green gram by 47.3%, over the inorganic source applied @ 75% RD  $(S_1N_1)$ under common practice. Kumar et al. (2014) also reported that application of 125% RDF + 5 t/ha vermicompost increased

the rice grain yield by 31.15% over control. Interestingly the yield of rice and green gram at 75% RD through INM  $(S_3N_1)$  was at par with 100% RD of nutrients from inorganic sources  $(S_1N_2)$  but it was not the case for heavy feeder crop potato. The higher yield at the highest level of nutrients through  $S_3$  might have been the result of higher availability and uptake of plant nutrients under favourable physical environment in the root rhizosphere and also conservation of the excess nutrients by forming organic-mineral complexes. This ensured slow and synchronized nutrient supply to crops. Similar results were reported by Sarkar et *al.* (2007) and Meena et *al.* (2013).

# Impact on nutrient uptake

It is apparent from the Table 3 that conjoint use of chemical fertilizers and FYM (S<sub>3</sub>) had significant positive effect on uptake of nutrients over inorganic fertilizers (S<sub>1</sub>) and FYM (S<sub>2</sub>) alone. Pooled data revealed that among different sources the highest N uptake by rice, potato and green gram (106.5, 144.6, 70.09 kg/ha, respectively) was observed in INM (S<sub>3</sub>) while the lowest was in the organic source alone (S<sub>2</sub>). The nitrogen derived

| Treatments   | Total co                     | st of culti                   | vation (A)                               | (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )            |                                  | Total value                        | - of produc                    | re (B) (Rs ha                       | (-                               |                                   | Net profi                                | $\pm INP = (B)$                 | -A)] (Rs h                    | a-1)                         |                             |                            |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| B:C [NP/B)   | Rice                         | Potato                        | Green                                    | System                            | Rice                             | Potato                             | Green                          | System                              | Rice                             | Potato                            | Green                                    | System                          | Rice                          | Potato                       | Green                       | System                     |
|  |                              |                               | gram                                     |                                   |                                  |                                    | gram                           |                                     |                                  |                                   | gram                                     |                                 |                               |                              | gram                        |                            |
|  |                              |                               |  |                                   |                                  | Sc                                 | ources of n                    | utrients (S)                        |                                  |                                   |  |                                 |                               |                              |                             |                            |
| Fertilizer (S <sub>1</sub> )                                 | 25118                        | 61292                         | 13900                                    | 100310                            | 26567b                           | 137192b                            | 16086b                         | 1 79846b                            | 1449b                            | 75901b                            | 2186b                                    | 79536b                          | 0.066b                        | 1.22b                        | 0.145b                      | 0.781b                     |
| FYM $(S_2)$  | 25489                        | 61297                         | 13900                                    | 100686                            | 24506c                           | 119260c                            | 11789c                         | 155557c                             | -982.6c                          | 57963c                            | -2111c                                   | 54870c                          | -0.029c                       | 0.929c                       | -0.162c                     | 0.536c                     |
| Fertilizer + FYM $(S_3)$                                     | 25483                        | 62981                         | 13900                                    | 102365                            | 28819a                           | 152462a                            | 18463a                         | 1 99744a                            | 3336a                            | 89481a                            | 4563a                                    | 97379a                          | 0.138a                        | 1.39a                        | 0.309a                      | 0.934a                     |
| ,<br>TSD   | NS                           | NS                            | NS                                       | NS                                | 758.09                           | 5910.3                             | 529.5                          | 5813.1                              | 758.2                            | 5910.3                            | 529.55                                   | 5813.3                          | 0.031                         | 0.095                        | 0.039                       | 0.0586                     |
|  |                              |                               |  |                                   |                                  | Applic                             | ation rate                     | of nutrients                        | (Z                               |                                   |  |                                 |                               |                              |                             |                            |
| 75% RD (N1)  | 24992                        | 60018                         | 13900                                    | 98911                             | 24053c                           | 100688c                            | 13614b                         | 138355c                             | -939.4                           | 40670c                            | -285.9b                                  | 39445c                          | -0.025b                       | 0.680c                       | -0.029b                     | 0.402c                     |
| 100% RD (N <sub>3</sub> )                                    | 25365                        | 61870                         | 13900                                    | 101135                            | 27067b                           | 141920b                            | 15776ba                        | 184762b                             | 1702                             | 80050b                            | 1875a                                    | 83627b                          | 0.075a                        | 1.273b                       | 0.119a                      | 0.814b                     |
| 125% RD (N <sub>3</sub> )                                    | 25733                        | 63683                         | 13900                                    | 103316                            | 28772a                           | 166307a                            | 16949a                         | 212029a                             | 3039                             | 102624a                           | 3049a                                    | 108713a                         | 0.125a                        | 1.586a                       | 0.203a                      | 1.035a                     |
| ,<br>TSD   | NS                           | NS                            | NS                                       | NS                                | 884.45                           | 16875                              | 2544.3                         | 19201                               | 1318                             | 14632                             | 1965.5                                   | 15145                           | 0.055                         | 0.189                        | 0.128                       | 0.117                      |
|  |                              |                               |  |                                   |                                  |                                    | Significan                     | ice level                           |                                  |                                   |  |                                 |                               |                              |                             |                            |
| Interaction  |                              |                               |  |                                   |                                  |                                    |                                |                                     |                                  |                                   |  |                                 |                               |                              |                             |                            |
| S × N  | NS                           | NS                            | NS                                       | NS                                | NS                               | NS                                 | NS                             | NS                                  | NS                               | NS                                | NS                                       | NS                              | NS                            | NS                           | NS                          | NS                         |
| Price: Rice - 580, 645, 850 F<br>Each value represents poole | Ss. /q (200€<br>d data of me | -07, 2007-08<br>ean values of | <mark>8, 2008-09; г</mark><br>есопотіс р | espectively), .<br>arameters oftl | Potato - 6000,<br>hree replicate | , 6800, 8000 R<br>d plots over thi | s/q (2006-07,<br>ree years and | ,2007-08, 2008<br>values that diffe | -09; respecti<br>r significantly | vely); Green g<br>/ (p < 0.05) wi | ram - 1520, <sup>-</sup><br>thin each co | l 700, 2520 R<br>Jumn are follc | s./q (2006-0<br>owed by diffe | 7, 2007-08,<br>erent letters | 2008-09; re<br>. NS, Non si | spectively);<br>gnificant. |
|  |                              |                               |  |                                   |                                  |                                    |                                |                                     |                                  |                                   |  |                                 |                               |                              |                             |                            |

RICE-POTATO-GREEN GRAM INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

from the organic matter and fertilizer source improved the N use efficiency and consequently improved the uptake by crops in S<sub>2</sub>. The results are in agreement with the findings of Kumar et al. (2014) and Mitra et al. (2010). Similar effect was also observed for P uptake by different crops. Highest P uptake by rice (18.06 kg/ha), potato (14.95 kg/ha) and green gram (13.59 kg/ha) was also noted in S, possibly due to the increased mobilization of native insoluble P through organic acids, better inoculation with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms which are also known to produce organic acids and reduced phosphate fixation resulted from protective cover by organic matter on sesquoxides present in this red and lateritic soils. Similar findings were also observed by Biswas (2010) and Bahadur et al. (2012). Inclusion of FYM with inorganic fertilizer increased the uptake of potassium in rice, potato and green gram by 14%, 28% and 18.5%, respectively, over S<sub>1</sub>. Such increase in K uptake with addition of FYM might be due to the increase in CEC of soil holding more exchangeable K on the surface and also dissolution of K from the K bearing minerals by the organic acids. Similar results were also observed by Majumdar et al. (2014) and Sawarkar et al. (2013). With increase in the level of nutrients from 75% RD to 125% RD the uptake of N, P and K by rice were increased by 22.1, 3.06 and 48.76 kg/ha respectively, that for potato were 54.09, 5.26 and 54.85 kg/ha, respectively. This higher uptake of nutrients associated with higher amount of nutrient application might be due to the greater biomass yield. This result corroborates with the findings of Satyanarayana et al. (2002) and Singh et al. (2013).

Fig. 2 shows the interaction study of the levels and sources of nutrients on N, P and K uptake by crops which were significant. The maximum uptake of N, P and K by rice (114, 19 and 67.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), potato (174, 18 and 187 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and green gram (78, 14.8 and 35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was observed at 125% RD applied through integrated source ( $S_3N_3$ ). This was due to greater yield of crops and thereby higher assimilation of nutrients under favourable soil physical, chemical and biological environment as explained earlier. Satyanarayana *et al.* (2002) and Satish *et al.* (2011) also reported higher uptake of nutrients in low land rice and rice-maize cropping sequence, respectively, with higher doses of nutrient applied through integrated source.

#### Impact on soil properties

The pooled data on the effect of different sources and levels of nutrient application on soil chemical and physical properties after each year of cropping cycle are presented in table 4. The increase of pH under integrated source  $(S_{2})$  after 3 years might be ascribed to the increased base retention capacity (Laxminarayana and Patiram, 2006). However, the decrease of soil pH from the initial value in the organically amended treatment (S<sub>2</sub>) could be partly due to formation of various organic acids during mineralization of nutrients from FYM and partly due to decomposition of incorporated green gram plants in soil. Pooled data over 3 years (Table 4) revealed that conjoint use of chemical fertilizers and FYM (S<sub>2</sub>) has significantly increased the soil organic carbon by 17.07%, available N, P, K and moisture content by 12.9%, 10.3%, 8.89% and 7.82%, respectively, over the inorganic fertilizer (S<sub>1</sub>). However, in FYM treated plots (S<sub>2</sub>) the available N, P and K were least (119.8,



Figure 1: Interaction effect of source and application rate of crop nutrients on yield of rice (a), potato (b) and green gram (c) (pooled data over three years). Values that differ significantly (p < 0.05) in each figure are followed by different letters. S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> represent fertilizer, FYM and fertilizer + FYM source of nutrient respectively and N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub> represents 75%, 100% and 125% level of RD of NPK respectively

74.87 and 106.7 kg/ha, respectively) because of the higher crop demand than the supply but the mean water holding capacity (MWHC) (44.25%) was higher. Application of 125% RD of nutrients also significantly increased the OC (0.662%), available N, P and K (132.9, 85.13 and 123.2 kg/ha) and MWHC (43.644%) than 75% RD (N<sub>1</sub>) and 100% RD (N<sub>2</sub>). Increased OC in S, might be the result of increased mineralization of soil organic C by the microbial activity (Lv Meirong et al., 2011), and return of large amount of crop residues in the form of roots and stubbles to soil (Biswas, 2010). The N build up of soil may be due to the positive balance of OC and slow release of N from mineralization of FYM. While higher available P build up may be due to the addition of FYM in soil since FYM is known to mobilize native insoluble P through several organic acids (Dixit and Gupta, 2000). The S<sub>2</sub> as source of nutrients was able to increase the cation exchange capacity of soil such that the added K or that released from soil was protected from leaching loss and /or refixation in soil. Earlier authors (Sharma et al. 2013) also reported similar findings. A significant decrease in bulk density (BD) and increase in MWHC was noted after the experiment in organically treated plots (S<sub>2</sub>). This was due to the enhanced



Figure 2: Interaction effect of the source and rate of nutrients on uptake of N, P and K (kg/ha) by rice, potato and green gram (pooled data over three years). Values that differ significantly (p < 0.05) in each segment are followed by different letters. S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> represent fertilizer, FYM and fertilizer + FYM as source of nutrients respectively, and N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub> represents 75%, 100% and 125% level of RD of NPK respectively

organic matter content in soil (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2011) leading to better aggregation, and increased volume of micropores (Schj'ønning et al., 1994). Similar results were reported by Bandyopadhyay et al. (2011). On the other hand with increase in the level of nutrients there was reduction in bulk density and increase in water holding capacity; might be due to the higher root volume and thereby more plant residue addition (Bellaki and Badanur, 1997). The interaction effect of different sources and levels of nutrients was found significant for all the soil parameters except for available N (Table 4). The highest build up of OC (0.719%), available N (143.89 kg/ha), P (90.14 k/ha) and K (129.5 kg/ha) was observed @ 125 RD supplied through INM (S,N,). Similar improvement in OC content of soil, different soil available plant nutrients and bulk density was recently reported by Kumar et al. (2012) in potato based cropping system and by Babar and Dongale (2013) in lateritic soil in mustard-cowpea-rice cropping system.

#### Economics of integrated nutrient management

The total cost of cultivation and annual net profit were considered for evaluating the best nutrient management practice in the selected cropping system (Table 5). The pooled analysis revealed that amongst sources the highest net profit (97379 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C (0.934) of the system was obtained in the integrated source (S<sub>3</sub>), while 125% (N<sub>3</sub>) RD of nutrient level recorded highest net profit (108713 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C (1.035). This was due to higher economic yield of the crops associated with low input cost of FYM in the integrated approach compared to the inorganic source. Because of low yield and involvement of the cost of bulk FYM these economic parameters were low in the organic source (S<sub>2</sub>). Similar higher economical profit with combined application of inorganic and organic source was reported by Ray and Mukhopadhyay (2013) in *kharif* rice and Nayek *et al.* (2013) in sesame at West Bengal.

Our study in an Inceptisol of the acid red and laterite zone of Gangetic plain revealed that inclusion of FYM in the nutrient management schedule with fertilizers produced significant greater yield and nutrient uptake by crops in an intensive cultivation. It was noted that the soil productivity in terms of OC, available N, P and K could be improved using 25% higher doses of nutrients than the recommended. The pooled vields of rice and green gram with 125% RD of inorganic fertilizers were at par with the RD through INM. This was possible because of greater agronomic efficiency of the cropping sequence (having green gram without inputs) and INM practice. The economic benefits of INM at the recommended level of nutrient can attract the farmers, via the implementers, to adopt the practice. This study draws attention on immediate adoption of INM practice in this rainfed zone in order to prevent further deterioration of soil health and yield level. Further research may look for long term experiment, for the biochemical properties of soil.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank A. Mukherjee, KPS and all the farm labour of ZARS, Nalhati for extending help in the field work of this experiment. We also like to thank Soil testing Laboratory, Burdwan, Government of West Bengal, India and Nodule Research laboratory, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur-741235, Nadia and West Bengal, India for helping in analysis of soil and plant samples of this experiment.

### REFERENCES

**Babar, S. and Dongale, J. H. 2013.** Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil fertility and crop productivity under mustard-cowpearice cropping sequence on lateritic soil of Konkan. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* **61(1):** 7-14.

Bahadur, Lal, Tiwari, D. D., Mishra, J. and Gupta, B. R. 2012. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, microbial population and changes in soil properties under rice-wheat cropping system in sodic soil. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 60(4): 326-329.

**Bandyopadhyay, P. K., Saha, S. and Mallick, S. 2011.** Comparison of Soil Physical Properties between a Permanent Fallow and a Long-Term Rice-Wheat Cropping with Inorganic and Organic Inputs in the Humid Subtropics of Eastern India. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **42(4):** 435-449.

Baruah, T. C. and Barthakur, H. P. 1997. A textbook of soil analysis. New Delhi: Vikas.

Bellaki, M. A. and Badanur, V. P. 1997. Long-term effect of integrated nutrient management on properties of Vertisols under dryland

agriculture. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 45(3): 438-442.

Biswas, B., Ghosh, D. C., Dasgupta, M. K., Trivedi, N., Timsina, J. and Dobermann, A. 2006. Integrated assessment of cropping systems in the Eastern Imndo-Gangetic plain. *Field Crop Res.* **99**: 35-47.

**Biswas, D. R. 2010.** Nutrient recycling potential of rock phosphate and waste mica enriched compost on crop productivity and changes in soil fertility under potato-soybean cropping sequence in an Inceptisol of Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **89**: 15-30.

Blake, G. R. and Hartge, K. H. 1986. Bulk density. In Methods of soil analysis, part 1: Physical and mineralogical methods, ed. A. Klute, Madison, Wisc.: American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America. pp. 363-375.

Chesti, M. H., Kohli, A. and Sharma, A. K. 2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield of and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and soil properties under Intermediate Zone of Jammu and Kashmir. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 61(1): 1-6.

Chettri, M., Mondal, S. S. and Konar, A. 2004. Integrated nutrient management for enhancing productivity and sustaining soil fertility under potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)-based cropping system in West Bengal. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **74(4)**: 163-164.

Dixit, K. G. and Gupta, B. R. 2000. Effect of Farm yard manure, chemical and biofertilizers on yield and quality of rice and soil properties. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 48(4): 773-780.

Jackson, M. L. 1973. Methods of chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd, New Delhi.

Jackson, M. L. 1956. Soil Chemical Analysis- Advance Course. Department of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, W. I.

Jackson, M. L. 1958. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall, Inc., Engle Wood Cliffs. New Jersy pp. 183-204.

Kumar, A., Meena, R. N., Yadav Lalji and Gilotia, Y. K. 2014. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient on yield, yield attributes and nutrient uptake of rice CV. PRH-10. *The Bioscan.* 9(2): 595-597.

Kumar, M., Baishaya, L. K., Ghosh, D. C., Gupta, V. K., Dubey, S. K., Das, A. and Patel, D. P. 2012. Productivity and soil health of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) field as influenced by organic manures, inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers under high altitudes of eastern Himalayas. J. Agr. Sci. 4(5): 223-234.

Kumar, M., Jadav, M. K. & Trehan, S. P. 2008. Contributing of organic sources to potato nutrition at varying nitrogen levels. Global potato conference, New Delhi.

Kumar, M., Jatav, M. K., Trehan, S. P. and Lal, S. S. 2009. Integrated nutrient management in potato based cropping system for eastern Indo-gangetic plains of India. *Potato J.* 36(3-4): 136-142.

Ladha, J. K., Pathak, H., Padre, A. T., Dawe, D., Gupta, R. K. 2003. Productivity trends in intensive rice-wheat cropping systems in Asia. In: J K Ladha, J E Hill, J M Duxbury, R K Gupta, R J Buresh (eds) Improving the productivity and sustainability of rice wheat systems: issues and impacts. *ASA special publication 65, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison*, USA, pp. 45-76.

Laxminarayan, K. and Patiram 2006. Effect of integrated use of inorganic, biological and organic manures on rice productivity and soil fertility in ultisols of Mizoram. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 54(2): 213-220.

Lv, Meriong, Li, Z., Che, Y., Han, F. and Liu, M. 2011. Soil organic C, nutrients, microbial biomass, and grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) after 18 years of fertilizer application to an infertile paddy soil. *Biol. Fert. Soils.* **47**: 777-783.

Mazumdar, S. P., Kundu, D. K., Ghosh, D., Saha, A. R., Majumdar, B. and Ghorai, A. K. 2014. Effect of long-term application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on yield, potassium uptake and distribution of potassium fractions in the new gangetic alluvial soil under jute-rice-wheat cropping system. Int. J. Agr Food Sci. Tech. 5(4): 297-306.

Meena, V. S., Maurya, B. R., Verma, R., Meena, R., Meena, R. S., Jatav, G. K. and Singh, D. K. 2013. Influence of growth and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by organic and inorganic sources of nutrients with residual effect under different fertility levels. *The Bioscan.* **8**(3): 811-815.

Mitra, S., Roy, A., Saha, A. R., Maitra, D. N., Sinha, M. K., Mahapatra, B. S. and Saha, S. 2010. Effect of integrated nutrient management on fiber yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in jute (*Corchorus olitorius*). *Indian J. Agr Sci.* 80(9): 801-804.

Murphy, J. and Riley, J. P. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Clin. Acta.* 27: 31-36.

Nayek, S. S., Brahmachari, K., Chowdhury, R. Md. 2014. Integrated approach in nutrient management of sesame with special reference to its yield, quality and nutrient uptake. *The Bioscan.* 9(1): 101-105.

**Parmar, D. K. 2014.** Yield, produce quality and soil health under vegetable cropping systems as influenced by integrated nutrient management in Mid-hill Zone of Himachal Pradesh. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* **62(1)**: 45-51.

**Pratt, P. F. 1965.** Digestion with hydrofluoric and perchloric acids for total potassium and sodium. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2 (Black, CA *et al.*, Eds.) Agron Monog 9 ASA, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 1019-1020.

**Ray, S. K. and Mukhopadhyay, D. 2013.** Effects of inorganic and organic inputs on yield of *kharif* rice (*Oryza sativa*) in West Bengal, India. *Crop Res.* **45(1, 2 & 3):** 13-19.

Sarkar, B., Mandal, S. S., Nayek, S. S., Saha, M. and Biswas, S. 2007. Integrated nutrient management for the productivity and quality improvement of potato under irrigated condition. *Potato J.* **34(1-2)**: 99-100.

Sathish, A., Govinda, G. V., Chandrappa, H. and Nagaraja, K. 2011. Long term effect of integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers on productivity, soil fertility and uptake of nutrient in rice and maize cropping system. International J. Sci. and Nature. 2: 84-88.

Satyanarayana, V., Prasad, P. V. V., Murthy, V. R. K. and Boote, K. J. 2002. Influence of integrated use of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield components of irrigated lowland rice. *J. Plant Nutr.* 25: 2081-2090.

Sawarkar, S. D., Khamparia, N. K., Thakur, Risikesh, Dewda, M. S. and Singh, M. 2013. Effect of long-term application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on yield, potassium uptake and profile distribution of potassium fractions in vertisol under soybean - wheat cropping system. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 61(2): 94-98.

Schj 'ønning, P., Christensen, B. T. and Carstensen, B. 1994. Physical and chemical properties of a sandy loam receiving animal manure, mineral fertilizer or no fertilizer for 90 years. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 45: 257-268.

Sharma, G. D., Thakur, Risikesh, Raj Som, Kauraw, D. L. and Kulhare, P. S. 2013. Impact of integrated nutrient management on yield, nutrient uptake, protein content of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and soil fertility in a *Typic Haplustert*. *The Bioscan*. **8(4)**: 1159-1164.

Singh, Muneshwar, Singh, M. and Kumawat, B. 2008. Influence of nutrient supply system on productivity of soybean - wheat and soil fertility of vertisol of Madhya Pradesh. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 56(4): 436-441.

Singh, S., Singh, V. and Singh, C. B. 2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on Indian mustard and soil fertility. *Ann. Agric. Res.* 34(3): 231-235.

Singh, S. K. and Lal, S. S. 2011. Integrated nutrient management in potato based cropping systems in south Bihar alluvial plains. *Potato J.* 38(2): 162-169.

Subbiah, B. V., Asija, G. L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Sci.* 25: 259-260.

Tandon, H. Z. 1993. Methods of Analysis of Soils, Plant, Water and fertilizers. Fertilizer Dvelopment and Consultation Oraganization, New Delhi. pp. 1-134.